

United States Patent and Trademary, Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,974	02/14/2001	Peter Lind	00411.US1/PHRM-0311	5217
WOODCOCK	WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LI		P EXAMINER	
ATTENTION: SUZANNE E. MILLER ESQ. ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1646	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		<u> </u>					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/782,974	LIND ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Joseph F Murphy	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	February 2001 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims AND Claim(a) 4.94 in/ore pending in the application							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) 1-81 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
•	on Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-29, 65, 68-73, 79-81, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:
 191, encoding SEQ ID NO: 192, a vector, a host cell, and a method of producing the protein of SEQ ID NO: 192, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- II. Claims 30-35, drawn to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 192, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 36-38, drawn to an antibody to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 192, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 39, drawn to a method of administration if a polypeptide, classified in class514, subclass 2.
- V. Claims 40-44, 48-51, 74-78, drawn to a method for identifying a compound which binds the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 192, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- VI. Claims 45-47, drawn to a method for identifying a compound which binds a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 191, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VII. Claim52, drawn to a compound which binds a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 192, ass it reads on, for example, an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VIII. Claims 53-64, 66-67, drawn to a method of identifying a homolog of the nGPCR-14 gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions I-III, VII are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function, and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged.

Inventions IV-VI, VIII are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different starting materials, have materially different process steps, and are for materially different purposes.

Inventions VII and V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the structure of the compound is independent of the means of identifying it, especially as the functional assay of group V would reasonably be expected to identify numerous compounds having distinct structures and functions.

Inventions I and VI, VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of invention I can be used for the production of protein.

Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of invention II can be used for the production of antibody.

Art Unit: 1646

Invention III is unrelated to inventions IV, V, VI, and VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together.

Invention I is unrelated to inventions IV, V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together.

Invention II is unrelated to inventions IV, VI, VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1646

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular

communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

March 13, 2003

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 Page 5